JR 115 Haw
Montgomery 626-5268

2537 Regent St., Apt. 202
Berkeley, Calif. 94704
November 18, 1968

Harold Weisberg
Route 8
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear [redacted] Harold,

Thanks for your call yesterday morning. I am afraid I was not quite awake enough to get exactly what you wanted done with Johann Rush. As I understand it, Hal &/or Jim and I should try to see him, try to get the stills, plus of course anything else he has to say. Should we get his address from Turner? Were we to await special instructions from you or N.O.? Anything else special he might have?

Enclosed is my letter to Lou, plus 3 pages of lists of documents relating to Oswald's literature distribution. Let me know what documents I should send you, and what others you think I should send them. I assume they have your notes on the pages I sent you some time ago.

I am enclosing my last 2 exchanges concerning the Quigley copy of the 544 Camp pamphlet. (Letters of 9/11, 9/13, 11/8. 11/14) I sent all (I think) of my previous correspondence on this to you with my letter of 8/13. I think you will agree that no public use should be made of this at least until I get Devine's reply to my latest letter. Also, I think this is an important piece of evidence, but one which requires a great deal of explanation before its full significance is clear. I suggest that you not use it unless some special gain is expected to result. As I indicated on the phone, I plan to write this up as soon as possible. This will probably be in the form of an article rather than a memo, although I am not persuaded that it is publishable stuff. In any case I think it would be good for us to exchange ideas on this before using it. I think it is potentially at least as damaging as the business of Hosty's name, &c. in Oswald's notebook - regardless of how closely Oswald is eventually tied to the 544 Camp st. people. (Incidentally, we have the Gemberling affidavit on the Hosty matter - let me know if you did not get this from the Archives already.) I have sent none of my recent correspondence on this Quigley matter to N.O., and will do so only when it is reasonably polished up.

Also enclosed: your memo on your Core interview, as requested.

Sincerely,

Paul

[redacted]
Enclosed: 4444 pp. as listed above; CD 75, p. 232 (Amplonsk); CD 126, p. 42 (Core);
CD 206, p. 218 (Lawrence)